Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Becker SWCD_		District FY06
	EQIP	

- 1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address: EQIP can address all the local resource concerns.
- 2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: The geographic regions of the county that will receive a priority are the Sand Lake Watershed and the Upper Pelican Watershed Project. During the first approval period a minimum score of 20 will be required to be considered. The second scoring period it will be 10 points to be considered and the final approval period the minimum of 7 base points will be required.
- 3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	₩
Factor	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control		
A2 Gully Control		
B1 Water Resource		
B2 Wastewater/CNMP		P
C Habitat Improvement		
D Air Quality		
E Impaired Water		
F Distance		
G Grazing System		
H Forest Mgt.		
Additional Local*		5

- If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.
- If the project falls within the geographic priority areas of the Sand Lake Watershed or the Upper Pelican Watershed project they will be multiplied by a factor of 5 and scored under the additional local concern.

•

- 4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
- 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document All allowable practices will be available for the signup

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 06 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

